UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,273	08/08/2005	Teruo Tsutsumi	450104-04918	5375	
William S From	7590 06/09/200 nmer	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawrence & Haug 745 Fifth Avenue			FAULK, DEVONA E		
New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/509,273	TSUTSUMI, TERUO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DEVONA E. FAULK	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2.4.5.8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3.6.10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/27/04 is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is provided in the correction of the correction in the correction of the correction of the correction in the correction of the correction in the correction of	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/509,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

- 1. The applicant filed an IDS on 2/27/09.
- 2. Upon consideration of the prior art cited on the IDS, The indicated allowability of claims 2-6 and 8-11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kamijo. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. Claims 1 and 7 are cancelled.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 3,6,10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2,4,5,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamijo (JP 63-309094).

Regarding claim 2, Kamijo discloses a loudspeaker apparatus, in which a partition wall (5 partitioning plate; See Constitution section, Figures 1-4) provided at a right angle to a center of a speaker (Figures 1-4) that is installed on a front board of a cabinet divides an inside space of the cabinet into at least two (Figures 1-4).

Kamijo teaches its speaker improves the tonal quality. Kamijo fails to explicitly teach of emitting sound in middle and low frequency range from one of the divided space in the cabinet and emitting sound in middle and high frequency range from the other divided space in the cabinet.

MPEP 2114....states that while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims <directed to >an< apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Also, a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Kamijo clearly has the structural limitations of the claim and the intended use of the structure cannot differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus.

Regarding claims 4,5,8 and 9, the examiner asserts that the limitations of these claims are a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to modify Kamijo to include the limitations of claims 4,5,8 and 9 to provide a better looking loudspeaker.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEVONA E. FAULK whose telephone number is (571)272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,273 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devona E. Faulk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614